

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/742,915	12/23/2003	Hyung Ki Hong	8733.985.00-US	7350
30827	7590 10/12/2005		EXAMINER	
	LONG & ALDRIDGE LI	DUDEK, JAMES A		
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/742,915	HONG, HYUNG KI	(m)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	4			
	James A. Dudek	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply			ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comr D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ſ.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)	. .					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/742,915

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

REJECTIONS BASED ON 976 REFERENCE

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,642,976 ("976").

Per claim 1, 976 teaches a liquid crystal display module, comprising: a lamp generating light [7]; a light guide panel that converts an incident light from the lamp into a surface light [11], said light guide panel having a first refractive index [1.52, see example 1]; a low refractive index layer on the light guide panel having a second refractive index which is lower than the first refractive index [12, 1.38 and 1.46 index of refraction, see examples 1 and 4], reflecting the light incident to the light guide panel on the border area [see figure 8]; and a reflective type of liquid crystal display panel that illuminates a picture by reflecting the light coming from the low refractive index layer see 3 and 23'].

Per claims 3 and 10, 976 teaches the liquid crystal display module according to claim 1, wherein a condition in which light is totally reflected on the border surface of the light guide panel and the low refractive index layer is defined by 90.degree.-sin.sup.-1(the first refractive index)>sin.sup.-1(the second refractive index/the first refractive index) [since the index of refract for both high and low refractive index layers, this equation is inherently meet].

Per claim 9, 976 teaches the liquid crystal display module according to claim 1, further comprising a condensing device located between the lamp and the light guide panel [5].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 921.

Per claim 2, 976 teaches the liquid crystal display module according to claim 1, but lacks the low refractive index layer being adhered to the light guide panel. However, it was well known to adhere layers in a liquid crystal cell in order to reduce slippage. Accordingly it was have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention.

Per claims 4 and 11, 976 teaches the liquid crystal display module according to claim 9, wherein the first refractive index is 1.5 [1.52] and the second refractive index is 1.35 [1.38]. Although, 976 does not teach the exact values claim in claims 4 and 11, it does teach overlapping ranges. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to at the time of invention to improve the reflectance between the layers.

REJECTIONS BASED ON 921 REFERENCE

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 5, 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,742,921 ("921").

Art Unit: 2871

Per claim 1, 921 teaches a liquid crystal display module, comprising: a lamp generating light [2]; a light guide panel that converts an incident light from the lamp into a surface light [1], said light guide panel having a first refractive index [see column 13, 2nd paragraph]; a low refractive index layer on the light guide panel having a second refractive index which is lower than the first refractive index [adhesive 13 and 15], reflecting the light incident to the light guide panel on the border area [see figure 7]; and a reflective type of liquid crystal display panel that illuminates a picture by reflecting the light coming from the low refractive index layer [see 20].

Per claim 5, 921 teaches the liquid crystal display module according to claim 1, further comprising: a polarizing sheet on the low refractive index layer [12b]; a phase difference compensation sheet on the polarizing sheet [12a]; and a diffusion sheet on the phase difference compensation sheet [25].

Per claim 7-8, 921 teaches the liquid crystal display module according to claim 5, wherein the reflective type of liquid crystal display panel includes: a lower substrate having a reflective electrode, the reflective electrode reflects the light going out from the low refractive layer; and an upper substrate facing the lower substrate with liquid crystal therebetween [23 and 21'].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 9 and 12-15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 921.

Per claim 6, 921 teaches the liquid crystal display module according to claim 5, wherein the polarizing sheet is adhered to the low refractive index layer but lack an explicit teaching that the phase difference compensation sheet is adhered to the polarizing sheet; and the diffusion sheet is adhered to the phase difference compensation sheet. However it was well known to Art Unit: 2871

adhere layer in a liquid crystal cell in order to prevent misalignment of the layers. Accordingly it was have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to adhere the layers to each other in 921.

Per claim 9, 921 teaches the liquid crystal display module according to claim 1, further comprising a condensing device located between the lamp and the light guide panel [top surface of 1]. However, this condensing element is not separate. But, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to separate in order to decrease the manufacturing cost the plate 1.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/19/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that neither 976 nor 921 teaches "a low refractive index layer on the light guide panel having a second refractive index which is lower than the first refractive index, reflecting the light incident to the light guide panel on the border area". However, the rejection clearly addresses this limitation. Accordingly, it is unclear what precisely is not taught by the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/742,915 Page 6

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Dudek whose telephone number is 571-272-2290. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at/866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James A. Dudek Frimary Examiner Art Unit 2871